Lectures on the Physics of the Nucleus

FZ Dresden-Rossendorf, Feb-April 2008

Hermann Wolter

(Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München)

Lect. 3, April 2, 2008

0. Introduction: nucleus as a many-body system

3. Pre-equilibrium reactions

Reactions

However, structures in cross section of very different width

Weißkopf picture

Reaction amplitude

(analogous to scattering amplitude in potential scattering)

Eingaup Lanal (mist gave unpolem. - Diff. Wirling ques soluit (beaste 3p = ty) $\frac{48.44}{160}$

from Green function solution of the Coupled-Channels-egs one obtains (similarly as in potential scattering)

Optical Potential:

Effective description of scattering in thepresence of open channels

Consequence of complex potential: damping

1.
$$
\psi(\tau) = e^{ik\tau}
$$

\n $k = \sqrt{\frac{2w}{n^{2}}(E-V-iW)} = k_{\tau}+ik_{\tau}$ = $\frac{Q}{2}k_{\tau}$ kompeck.
\n(get one. (otone, \rightarrow 164)) split
\n $m: |\psi|^{2} = [e^{(k_{\tau}+ik_{\tau}+\tau_{\tau}+\tau_{\tau}^{2})}] = e^{-2k_{\tau}r} - \frac{w}{2k_{\tau}+k_{\tau}^{2}} = 20$
\n2. Reid-wede $\lambda = \nu r = \frac{k_{\tau}}{2! \nu}$ $\nu = \frac{2k_{\tau}}{k_{\tau}}$
\n $= \frac{\lambda}{W} \sqrt{\frac{k_{\tau}}{2!}} = \frac{1}{\omega + \omega_{\tau}} \sqrt{(\omega + w_{\tau}^{2}) \cdot \frac{1}{\omega + k_{\tau}^{2}}}$
\n3. $\frac{V_{xy}d\omega}{2! \omega + \omega_{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \frac{8}{k_{\tau}+k_{\tau}} \approx \frac{1}{\omega + \omega_{\tau}} \sqrt{(\omega + w_{\tau}^{2}) \cdot \frac{1}{\omega + k_{\tau}^{2}}}$
\n3. $\frac{V_{xy}d\omega}{2! \omega + \omega_{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \approx 0.47 \text{ m}^{-3}$
\n $\frac{V_{xy}d\omega}{2! \omega + \omega_{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \approx 0.47 \text{ m}^{-3}$
\n $\frac{V_{xy}d\omega}{2! \omega + \omega_{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \frac{V_{xy}d\omega}{2! \omega + \omega_{\tau}} =$

Remarks to the microscopic understandingof the Optical Potential:

Asophion, abes Bayonea-fall strallt
\n9 Feips in andre kauale, d.h. oudere
\n9 Eboiet des Filklostrauus.
\n10
$$
+
$$
 H (Uutteiklu-tilloartraun)
\n11 $+$ H (Uutteiklu-tilloartraun)
\n12 $+$ Héshisul Shenu
\n13 $+$ Reshisul Shenu
\n14 $+$ Reshisul, Dei
\n15
\n16 $+$ Reshisul, Dei
\n17 $+$ Reishial, Dei
\n18 $+$ Reishial, Dei
\n19 $+$ Reishial, Dei
\n10 $+$ Héisul
\n11 $+$ Héisul
\n12 $+$ Héisul
\n13 $+$ Héisul
\n14 $+$ Héisul
\n15 $+$ Héisul
\n16 $+$ Héisul
\n17 $+$ Héisul
\n18 $+$ Héisul
\n19 $+$ Héisul
\n19 $+$ Héisul
\n10 $+$ Héisul
\n11 $+$ Héisul
\n12 $+$ Héisul
\n13 $+$ Héisul
\n14 $+$ Héisul
\n15 $+$ Héisul
\n16 $+$ Héisul
\n17 $+$ Héisul
\n18 $+$ Héisul
\n19 $+$ Héisul
\n10 $+$ Héisul
\n11 $+$ Héisul
\n12 $+$ Héisul
\n13 $+$ Héisul
\n14 $+$ Héisul
\n15 $+$ Héisul
\n16 $+$ Héisul
\n17 $+$ Héisul
\n18 $+$ Héisul
\n19 $+$ Héisul
\n10 $+$ Héisul
\n10 $+$ Héisul
\n11 $+$ Héisul
\n12 $+$ Héisul
\n13 $+$ Héis

Appel flows for uadis uu:
$$
\hat{P}+\hat{Q}=1
$$

\n
$$
(\hat{H}-E)(\hat{P}+\hat{Q})\psi = 0
$$
\n
$$
\hat{P}(H-E)(\hat{P}+\hat{Q})\psi = 0
$$
\n
$$
(\underline{PHP} + \underline{P}\underline{H}\underline{Q} - \underline{E}P)\psi = 0
$$
\n(Resdriabic: $\omega\mu P$)

\n
$$
(\underline{HP} - E)\psi_{P} = -\psi_{Q}\psi_{Q}
$$
\n
$$
(\underline{H}P) = -\psi_{Q}\psi_{Q}
$$
\n
$$
\omega_{Q}(\underline{H}P) = \omega_{Q}(\underline{H}P) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\omega_{Q}(\underline{H}P) = \omega_{Q}(\underline{H}P) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\omega_{Q}(\underline{H}P) = \omega_{Q}(\underline{H}P) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\omega_{Q}(\underline{H}P) = \omega_{Q}(\underline{H}P) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\omega_{Q}(\underline{H}P) = \
$$

Notes:

- **1. This s a formal expression; explicit calculation difficult, since sum over all states in ^Q (but possible for certain classes of states)**
- 2. General principle: when limiting a wavefunction to a subspace of the complete space, one obtains an **effective interaction.**

If the Q-space contains open channels, the effective interaction becomes complex.

3. Optical potential is analytic as a function of energy. derive dispersion relations, connecting real and imaginary part

Dispersive Optical potential:

From ist microscopic derivation, the optical potential U(r;E)=V+iWis an analytic function of the energy E (conneted to causality)

$$
U_D(R,E) = V_0(r,E) + \left(\Delta V(r,E)\right) + iW(r,E) .
$$

$$
U(r;E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{U(r;E')}{(E'-E)} dE'
$$

$$
\Delta V(r,E)\!=\!(E_F\!-\!E)\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\pi}\int_{E_F}^{\infty}\!\frac{W(r,E')}{(E'-E_F)(E-E')}dE'\quad\text{dispersion relation}
$$

Volume integrals

can also be derived from the T-matrix formulation discussed above

Example: Transfer reaction A(a,b)B

Fro. 15.10. Angular distributions for the reaction $^{56}Zr(d, p)^{41}Zr$ with 12-MeV deuterons, compared with DWBA calculations including finite-range and non-local corrections. (J. K. Dickens, R. M. Drisko, F. G. Percy, and

Speletockep. Faletwe

 Qualitative discussion: $\chi_{\alpha}^{(+)}(r_{\alpha}) \approx e^{ik_{\alpha}\vec{r}_{\alpha}}$ **1. Plane wave approx**. **2. Zero-range approx** $V_{bx}^{rest}(r_{bx}) \varphi_1^a(r_{bx}) \approx D_0 \, \delta(r_{bx})$ $\varphi_1^B = u_{nl}(r) Y_{lm}(\Omega)$ **3. Letcontributions mainly from***r* [≈] *^R*2 fa(qR) une (R) Ye (Sq) Sharks: speletwork Feletra, SA Willelathapijkeit: quelexing $O(qR)$ - Willel vesterly Ostillised - Win Webookile Sensitiv and $\int dx$ $\ell = 0$ $k = A$ u=Kern B (erstes Makinum versheld sid) s Bestimmy der l-Quanter salel Van Zustander.

Scattering by a complex potential: Reaction cross section

0,

f $f₀ - ikR$ 0

e

 k^2

ikR−2

Partial wave expansion of scatt. amplitude

Differential cross section

Total (elastic) cross section

Absorption (reaction) cross sect. : calculated from ingoing flux

$$
f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1)i(1-\eta_l) P_l(\cos\theta),
$$

\n
$$
\frac{d\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{d\Omega} = |f(\theta)|^2 = \frac{1}{4k^2} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1)(1-\eta_l) P_l(\cos\theta) \right|^2
$$

\n
$$
\sigma_{\varepsilon} = \pi \overline{\lambda}^2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1)|1-\eta_l|^2, \qquad \overline{\lambda} = \lambda/2\pi = 1/k.
$$

$$
j_r = -\frac{\hbar}{2im} \int \left(\Psi^* \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r} - \Psi \frac{\partial \Psi^*}{\partial r} \right) r^2 d\Omega.
$$

$$
\sigma_r = \pi \overline{\lambda}^2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1)(1-|\eta_l|^2).
$$

$$
\sigma_{tot} = \sigma_{el} + \sigma_r = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} \sum (2\ell+1)(1-\text{Re}\eta_\ell)
$$

4

kRf

 $\int_{R}^{2} + (f_{I} - kR)$

R ^I

 $I_{r,0} = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \frac{I_{r,0}I_{r}}{f_{r}^2 + (f_{r} - f_{r})}$ $=$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$

 $\sigma_{\text{eq}} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}$

Total cross section

Consequences:

 $I_{tot} = \frac{4\pi}{k} \text{Im} f(0)$ $\sigma_{tot} = \frac{4\pi}{k} \text{Im} f(0)$ Optical theorem, scattered particles missing in forward scattering ampl. \rightarrow

s pot

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\blacktriangleright \text{ max. reaction cross section} & \eta_{\ell} = 0 \qquad \sigma_{r} = \pi \bar{\lambda}^{2} \sum_{l=0}^{R/\lambda} (2l+1) = \pi (R+\bar{\lambda})^{2}. \qquad \text{geometrical + diffraction} \\
& \sigma_{r}^{\max} = \sigma_{el}, \quad \sigma_{tot}^{\max} = 2\pi (R+\bar{\lambda})^{2} \qquad \text{twice!} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \text{ Express by log. derivative: } \qquad f_{\ell} = R \frac{du_{\ell}/dr}{u_{\ell}} \bigg|_{r=R} = f_{R} + if_{I}; \quad f_{\ell}^{\text{(int)}} = f_{\ell}^{\text{(ext)}} \qquad \eta_{\ell=0} = \frac{f_{0} + ikR}{f_{0} - ikR} e^{2ikR}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{\ell,0} = \frac{\pi}{k^{2}} \bigg| A_{res} + A_{pot} \bigg|^{2} \qquad \qquad \bigg| \qquad \sigma_{\ell,0} = \pi \qquad -4kRf_{I}
$$

2 *ikR e*

1

 \rightarrow Cross sections: \rightarrow Cross sections: $\frac{-2ikR}{2ikR-1}$ $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0_{r,0} = \frac{2}{k^2} \frac{1}{f_R^2 + (f_I - kR)^2} \end{array}\right]$

Interference between resonance and potential scattering:

Single particle resonances (shape resonances)

for simplicity, l=0, square well potential: Resonance condition: maximal amplitude in interior: *R*

expansion around E_R

then, using formulae (2.p back)with this log. derivative

$$
f_R(E = E_r) = R \frac{u_{\ell}}{u_{\ell}}\Big|_{r=R,E=E_R} = 0
$$
\nor:
\n
$$
f_{0,R}(E) = 0 + (E - E_R) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E}\Big|_{E=E_R} + ...
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{e,0} = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \Big| e^{2ikR} - 1 + \frac{i\Gamma_{\alpha}}{(E - E_R) + i\Gamma/2} \Big|^2 \qquad \Gamma_{\alpha}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{r,0} = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \frac{\Gamma_{\alpha}(\Gamma - \Gamma_{\alpha})}{(E - E_R)^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2} \qquad \Gamma_{\alpha}
$$

'

Breit-Wigner cross section

 $\frac{\alpha^{2}}{k}$ = $(k$

=

kR

 $=\frac{1}{\left(\partial f_{R}/\partial E\right)_{E}}$

 $R / 2L$ E_R

R $\left(\overline{\partial} f_R/\overline{\partial} E\right)_{E_R}$ *REIfEkRf*2kR ($\left(\partial \! f_R / \partial E \right)$ 22; ∂∂= Γ_α =−

 $u_0(r)$

 $-V(r)$ b)

Interpretation:

 \rightarrow relation to life time:

$$
\psi(t) = A e^{(-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_0 t)} e^{-t/\tau} \longrightarrow |\psi(E)|^2 \approx \frac{1}{(E - E_0)^2 + (\hbar/2\tau)^2}
$$

 $u_0(r)$

 $\Rightarrow \Gamma = \frac{\hbar}{\tau}$ total width, Γ_{α} entrance channel width, $\Gamma - \Gamma_{\alpha} = \Gamma_{\beta}$ exit channel width $\Gamma=\hbar$

 \rightarrow alternative analysis of resonance condition:

 α

Γ

onance condition:
\n
$$
\delta_{\ell}(E)_{E=E_R} = \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad \delta_{\ell}(E) = \frac{\pi}{2} + (E - E_R) \left(\frac{\partial \delta_{\ell}}{\partial E}\right)_{E_R} + \dots;
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\Gamma_{\alpha/2}}{2} = \left(\frac{\partial \delta_{\ell}}{\partial E}\right)_{E_R}^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\delta_{\ell}(E)_{E=E_R} - \frac{\pi}{2}}{2}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\delta_{\ell}(E)_{E_R}}{\delta_{\ell}(E)} = \frac{\pi}{2}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\delta_{\ell}(E)_{E_R}}{\delta_{\ell}(E)} = \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

 \rightarrow reduced s.p.width $\Gamma_{\alpha} / \gamma = - \frac{k_{\alpha} R}{(2 \pi)^{2} E_{\alpha}} = (k_{\alpha} R) \gamma_{\alpha}^{2}$ \rightarrow reduced s.p. width

The Compound Nucleus

Loss of memory of incident channel (except for conserved quantities (angularmom.,parity, etc.)

Bohr independence hypothesis:

Formation and decay of CN independent

Strongly fluctuating cross sections

FIG. 11.8. Excitation functions for the reactions $^{26}Mg(p, p_0)$ and $^{26}Mg(p, p_2)$, showing the decrease in the amplitude of the fluctuations as the energy increases. This is due to the increasing contribution of direct processes to the reactions. (O. Häusser, P. von Brentano, and T. Mayer-Kuckuk, Phys. Lett. 12, 226, $1964.)$

Systematic behavior of cross sections

Angular differential cross sections for in a broad energyrange protons on different nuclei

Angular differential cross sections alpha scattering on Mg in fine energy steps

general relations

$$
\sigma_{\rm E} = \frac{\pi}{k^2} |1 - S|^2, \qquad \sigma_{\rm R} = \frac{\pi}{k^2} (1 - |S|^2)
$$

$$
\sigma_{\rm T} = \sigma_{\rm E} + \sigma_{\rm R} = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} (1 - \text{Re } S)
$$

devide into average and fluctuating part

$$
S = \langle S \rangle + S_{\scriptscriptstyle{f}i}; \quad \langle S_{\scriptscriptstyle{f}i} \rangle = 0
$$

the average cross section is typicallygiven by the optical model

Average elastic, reaction and total cross sections

$$
\langle \sigma_{\mathcal{B}} \rangle = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \langle |1 - S|^2 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \{ |1 - \langle S \rangle|^2 - |\langle S \rangle|^2 + \langle |S| \rangle \rangle \}
$$

$$
\langle \sigma_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \langle |1 - |S|^2 \rangle \rangle = \frac{\pi}{k^2} (1 - \langle |S|^2 \rangle),
$$

$$
\langle \sigma_{\mathcal{T}} \rangle = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} \langle |1 - \text{Re } S \rangle \rangle = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} (1 - \text{Re } \langle S \rangle).
$$

Cross sections defined by the average S-Matrix

 $\tilde{\sigma}_{\rm E} = \frac{\pi}{k^2} |1 - \langle S \rangle|^2,$ $\tilde{\sigma}_{\rm R} = \frac{\pi}{k^2} (1 - |\langle S \rangle|^2),$ $\tilde{\sigma}_{\rm T} = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} (1 - \text{Re}\langle S \rangle).$ Thus $\langle \sigma_{\rm T} \rangle = \tilde{\sigma}_{\rm T}$

However,

 and

$$
\langle |S|^2 \rangle \neq |\langle S \rangle|^2.
$$

It is thus convenient to introduce the fluctuation cross-section

$$
\sigma_{\rm FL} = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \left(\langle |S|^2 \rangle - |\langle S \rangle|^2 \right),
$$

so that the observed energy-averaged cross-sections are related $% \mathcal{N}$ calculated from $\langle S \rangle$ by the expressions

> $\langle \sigma_{\rm E} \rangle = \tilde{\sigma}_{\rm E} + \sigma_{\rm Fl},$ $\left\langle \sigma_{\mathrm{R}} \right\rangle \, = \, \tilde{\sigma}_{\mathrm{R}} \, - \, \sigma_{\mathrm{Fl}},$

Evaluation with CN resonances

To evaluate σ_{FI} it is necessary to use the explicit expression for the To evaluate σ_{F1} it is necessary to disc the experimental.
scattering amplitude in a resonance reaction (see Chapter 14):

$$
S = e^{2l\delta} \left(1 - \sum_{S} \frac{i\Gamma_n^S}{E - E_S + \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma^S} \right),
$$
 (5.39)

Let the averaging over the resonances be denned by

$$
\langle f(E) \rangle = \frac{1}{I} \int_{B - \frac{1}{2}I}^{E + \frac{1}{2}I} f(E) \, \mathrm{d}E \tag{5.40}
$$

so that

$$
\langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{I} \int_{E - \frac{1}{2}I}^{E + \frac{1}{2}I} e^{2i\delta} \left(1 - \sum_{S} \frac{i\Gamma_{n}^{S}}{E - E_{S} + \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma^{S}} \right) dE
$$

= $e^{2i\delta} \left(1 - \sum_{S} \frac{\pi \Gamma_{n}^{S}}{I} \right)$ (5.41)
If we define the strength function $\frac{\overline{\Gamma}_{n}}{D} = \frac{1}{I} \sum_{S} \Gamma_{n}^{S}$

$$
\big<\mathcal{S}\big> = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\delta}\,\Big(1\,-\,\frac{\pi\bar{\varGamma}_\mathrm{n}}{D}\Big)\cdot
$$

Thus

$$
\langle \sigma_{\rm R} \rangle = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\pi \bar{P}_{\rm n}}{D} \right)^2 \right\} - \sigma_{\rm Fl} = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \frac{2\pi \bar{P}_{\rm n}}{D} - \sigma_{\rm Fl},
$$

since $\bar{P}_{\rm n} \ll D$.

The cross-section for the formation of a compound nucleus
\n
$$
\langle \sigma_{\rm CN} \rangle = \frac{1}{I} \sum_{S} \int_{E - \frac{1}{2}I}^{E + \frac{1}{2}I} \frac{\pi}{k^2} \frac{\Gamma_S^S \Gamma^S}{(E - E_S)^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma_S^2} dE
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\pi}{k^2} \frac{2\pi}{I} \sum_{S} \Gamma_{\rm n}^S = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \frac{2\pi \bar{\Gamma}_{\rm n}}{D}.
$$
\nTherefore
\n
$$
\langle \sigma_{\rm R} \rangle = \langle \sigma_{\rm CN} \rangle - \sigma_{\rm FI}.
$$
\nBut
\n
$$
\langle \sigma_{\rm R} \rangle = \langle \sigma_{\rm CN} \rangle - \langle \sigma_{\rm CF} \rangle.
$$

and therefore

$$
\sigma_{\text{F1}} \equiv \big<\sigma_{\text{CE}}\big>.
$$

The total energy-averaged elastic cross-section is thus the total shape elastic and the total energy-averaged compon cross-sections,

$$
\langle \sigma_{\mathbf{E}} \rangle = \tilde{\sigma}_{\mathbf{E}} + \langle \sigma_{\mathbf{CE}} \rangle.
$$

$$
\left\langle \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{4k^2} \langle |(1 - \langle S \rangle - \tilde{S})P_0(\cos \theta)|^2 \rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{4k^2} \{ |1 - \langle S \rangle|^2 + \langle |\tilde{S}|^2 \rangle \},
$$

the cross-terms vanishing because $\langle \tilde{S} \rangle = 0$. Thus

$$
\left\langle \frac{d\sigma}{d\varOmega} \right\rangle = \frac{d\sigma_{\text{\tiny E}}}{d\varOmega} + \left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{\text{\tiny CE}}}{d\varOmega} \right\rangle.
$$

… thus relation between different cross sections:

